

DIN

Docket No.: 13156-00054-US

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Neven Lang et al.

Application Serial No.: 10/583,696

Confirmation No.: 7126

Filed: June 20, 2006

Art Unit: 1621

For: METHOD FOR SEPARATING TRIOXANE

FROM A MIXTURE CONTAINING TRIOXANE, FORMALDEHYDE AND

WATER.

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

**NOTICE** 

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In accordance with applicants' duty to disclose attached is a copy of the International Preliminary Report on Patentability of the PCT counterpart for the above case. It is noted that the document cited has already been made of record in an Information Disclosure Statement in this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Harold Pezzner

Registration No.: 22,112

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

1007 North Orange Street

P.O. Box 2207

Wilmington, Delaware 19899

(302) 659-9141

525914

# TRANSLATION PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference B03/0349PC	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416						
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)						
PCT/EP2004/014531	21.12.2004	23.12.2003						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC  . B01 D3/36 C07D323/06								
Applicant BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT								
<ol> <li>This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</li> </ol>								
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	5 sheets, include	ing this cover sheet.						
3. This report is also accompanied by Al	NNEXES, comprising:							
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:						
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).								
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental								
Box. b. (sent to the International I	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and num	ber of electronic carrier(s))						
(3.00.00								
related thereto, in computer	readable form only, as indicated in the Supp	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables plemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see						
Section 802 of the Administ								
4. This report contains indications relation	ng to the following items:							
Box No. I Basis of the	report							
Box No. II Priority								
Box No. III Non-establi	shment of opinion with regard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability						
Box No. IV Lack of unit	ty of invention							
DOX 1.0. V	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
Box No. VI Certain doc	uments cited							
Box No. VII Certain defe	ects in the international application							
Box No. VIII Certain obse	ervations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of	this report						
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer							
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.							

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY $% \left( \mathcal{L}\right) =\left( \mathcal{L}\right) \left( \mathcal{L}\right) \left$

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014531

Box	No. I	Basis of the report						
1.		h regard to the language, this report is based on the internation	onal application in the language in which it	was filed, unless otherwise				
		This report is based on translations from the original langua which is the language of a translation furnished for the purpoint international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))  publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)	poses of:	·				
2.	rece	h regard to the elements of the international application, this	e international application as originally filed/furnished					
		pages 1-9		as originally filed/furnished				
		pages*	<del></del>					
	$\square$	pages*	received by this Authority on					
		the claims:						
		nos. 1-13		as originally filed/furnished  ny statement) under Article 19				
		nos.*						
		nos.*	-					
	$\boxtimes$	the drawings:						
		sheets 1/1		as originally filed/furnished				
		sheets*						
		sheets*	-					
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplen	nental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.					
3	$\Box$	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description, pages						
		the sequence listing (specify):						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amend they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi						
		the description, pages	the description, pages					
			the claims, nos.					
		the drawings, sheets/figs	the drawings, sheets/figs					
		the sequence listing (specify):						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
*	If ite	m 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup	erseded."					

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014531

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Artic citations and explanations support			icle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; porting such statement		
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N	J)	Claims	1-12	YES
			Claims	13	NO
	Inventive s	tep (IS)	Claims		YES
			Claims	1-13	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-13	YES	
			Claims		NO
	<u></u>				

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

D1: DE-A-195 26 307

- The indications of pressure in claim 2 (upper limit), as well as the indication in claim 6 of the possible composition of stream I (with 80% formaldehyde, the sum adds to more than 100%), do not appear to be correct (PCT Article 6).
- Document D1 discloses a process for separating trioxane from a mixture (1) (reference sign in D1) of formaldehyde, trioxane and water, in which method
  - the mixture (1) is distilled in a first distillation stage (2) under a pressure of 0.5 bar, for example, producing a stream (4) which contains formaldehyde, and a stream (3) which mainly contains trioxane, besides water and formaldehyde,
  - stream (3) is distilled in a second distillation stage under a pressure of 6 bars, for example, producing a stream (7) which contains approximately 100% trioxane, and a stream (6) which mainly contains trioxane, besides water

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

and formaldehyde.

The subject matter of claim 1 differs from the above in that stream (6) (stream V in claim 1) is distilled in a third distillation stage at 0.1-4 bars, and the resultant head product (stream VII in claim 1) is returned to the second distillation stage, together with stream (3) (stream III in claim 1).

By contrast, stream (6) is supplied in D1 to the first distillation stage. However, since stream (6), compared with mixture (1) (20%), already contains a high proportion of trioxane (60%), it is clear that it is more advantageous, from the point of view of energy consumption, not to "recontaminate" stream (6), but rather to further process it, accepting instead a higher equipment outlay. If the need for a higher equipment outlay is accepted, the claimed process represents only one of several obvious possibilities for utilising the high trioxane content of stream (6).

The process as per claim 1 is therefore regarded as a non-inventive optimisation of the known process, especially since for the time being it cannot be determined to have a surprising effect.

Claim 1 thus meets the requirements of PCT Article 33(3).

The use of trioxane as per claim 13 is not novel

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014531

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement (cf. D1, claim 9). Claim 13 thus does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2). The features of the dependent claims are either 4. known from D1 or result in an obvious manner from the circumstances. 5. Contrary to PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description does not cite document D1 or indicate the relevant prior art disclosed therein.